CSC363 Tutorial $#3$ CE sets, Normal Form Theorem...

February 02, 2022

Learning objectives this tutorial

- \triangleright Talk about the definition "computably enumerable set".
- ▶ Conclude that it doesn't really matter which definition we use!

Assignment 1 recall time! My sincerest apologies.

Question: What was our original informal definition of a CE set, from the first assignment?

Assignment 1 recall time! My sincerest apologies.

Question: What was our original informal definition of a CE set, from the first assignment?

Ans: A set $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is CE if we can write a computer program that outputs the elements of M in a list.

A set $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is CE if we can write a computer program that outputs the elements of M in a list.

But how do we "output" an infinite set? We can write a computer program that prints $2, 4, 6, 8, \ldots$, but a computer will never finish outputting all the even numbers!

 1 It is not necessary that we print the numbers in increasing order! So 2, 6, 4, 8, \dots is also a valid way to enumerate the evens.

A set $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is CE if we can write a computer program that outputs the elements of M in a list.

But how do we "output" an infinite set? We can write a computer program that prints 2, 4, 6, 8, . . ., but a computer will never finish outputting all the even numbers!

What we mean here is: given any $m \in M$, the computer program will eventually print out \emph{m} . 1

 1 It is not necessary that we print the numbers in increasing order! So 2, 6, 4, 8, \dots is also a valid way to enumerate the evens.

Task: Show that the set of prime numbers P is CE.² In other words, write a program³ that prints out the prime numbers.

³In Python, C, Minecraft, ChungusCode, or whatever language you choose!

²Recall that a natural number *n* is prime if and only if $n \neq 1$, and its only divisors are 1 and n

Task: Show that the set of prime numbers P is CE.² In other words, write a program³ that prints out the prime numbers. Ans:

```
i = 2while True:
  is_prime = True
  for j in range(i):
    if i % j == 0 and j != 1and j := i:
      is_prime = False
  if is_prime:
   print(i)
  i + = 1
```


²Recall that a natural number *n* is prime if and only if $n \neq 1$, and its only divisors are 1 and n

³In Python, C, Minecraft, ChungusCode, or whatever language you choose!

Recall in Lecture 3 that we built up a set of functions called the "partial recursive" functions, in an attempt to mimicking what a computer can do.

Recall in Lecture 3 that we built up a set of functions called the "partial recursive" functions, in an attempt to mimicking what a computer can do.

A partial recursive function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is said to be total if $f(n)$ is defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Some synonyms for "total" functions are "total recursive" and "computable".

Recall in Lecture 3 that we built up a set of functions called the "partial recursive" functions, in an attempt to mimicking what a computer can do.

A partial recursive function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is said to be total if $f(n)$ is defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Some synonyms for "total" functions are "total recursive" and "computable".

All primitive recursive functions are recursive and defined for all natural numbers, so they are all computable! But some computable functions are not primitive recursive.

Recall in Lecture 3 that we built up a set of functions called the "partial recursive" functions, in an attempt to mimicking what a computer can do.

A partial recursive function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is said to be *total* if $f(n)$ is defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Some synonyms for "total" functions are "total recursive" and "computable".

All primitive recursive functions are recursive and defined for all natural numbers, so they are all computable! But some computable functions are not primitive recursive.

Correction to last week's tutorial: Again, we lied to you!

- ▶ Last week's definition: A computable set is a set whose characterstic function⁴ is primitive recursive.
- \triangleright This week's definition: A computable set is a set whose characterstic function is computable (as we have just defined).

Now we will present the formal definition of a CE set (from Lecture 3 also).

Definition: A set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is **CE** when one of the following holds:

- \blacktriangleright $S = \emptyset$:
- \triangleright S is the range of a computable function f. That is,

$$
S=\{f(n):n\in\mathbb{N}\}.
$$

Write this down!!

Now we will present the formal definition of a CE set (from Lecture 3 also).

Definition: A set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is **CE** when one of the following holds:

- \blacktriangleright $S = \emptyset$:
- \triangleright S is the range of a computable function f. That is,

$$
S=\{f(n):n\in\mathbb{N}\}.
$$

Write this down!!

Question: What does the Church-Turing Thesis say?

Now we will present the formal definition of a CE set (from Lecture 3 also).

Definition: A set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is **CE** when one of the following holds:

- \blacktriangleright $S = \emptyset$;
- \triangleright S is the range of a computable function f. That is,

$$
S=\{f(n):n\in\mathbb{N}\}.
$$

Write this down!!

Question: What does the Church-Turing Thesis say? **Ans:** The Church-Turing Thesis says that a function f is "intuitively computable" iff it is total recursive (iff it is Turing computable, iff it is URM computable, etc).

Now we will present the formal definition of a CE set (from Lecture 3 also).

Definition: A set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is **CE** when one of the following holds:

- \blacktriangleright $S = \emptyset$;
- \triangleright S is the range of a computable function f. That is,

$$
S=\{f(n):n\in\mathbb{N}\}.
$$

Write this down!!

Question: What does the Church-Turing Thesis say?

Ans: The Church-Turing Thesis says that a function f is "intuitively computable" iff it is total recursive (iff it is Turing computable, iff it is URM computable, etc).

Task: Let P be the set of primes. Show that P is CE according to the above definition, by showing that $f(n) =$ the nth prime number is computable using the CT Thesis.

Task: Let P be the set of primes. Show that P is CE according to the above definition, by showing that $f(n) =$ the nth prime number is computable using the CT Thesis.

Ans: Define $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, $f(n) =$ the *n*th prime number. *f* is intuitively computable, because we can write the following program to compute f : def $f(n)$:

```
def is_prime(i):
  for i in range(i):
    if i \frac{9}{1} j == 0
    and j := 1and j := i:
      return False
  return True
                             # the 0th prime is 2!
                             prime = -1 \veei = 2while True:
                               if (is_prime(i)):
                                  prime_count += 1
                                if (prime_count == n):
                                  return i
                                i + = 1By the CT Thesis, f is computable (in the recursive sense). So \overline{P}, which
is the range of f, is a CE set.
```
We will now prove the following:

S is CE \Leftrightarrow S is the domain of a partial recursive function.

Recall: if $g(x, y)$ is partial recursive, then so is

 $f(x) = \min\{y : g(x, y) = 0\}.$

We will now prove the following:

S is CE \Leftrightarrow S is the domain of a partial recursive function.

Recall: if $g(x, y)$ is partial recursive, then so is

$$
f(x) = \min\{y : g(x, y) = 0\}.
$$

Task: Show that \emptyset is the domain of a partial recursive function. In other words, come up with a partial recursive function that is defined nowhere!

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet\n\end{array}
$$

We will now prove the following:

S is CE \Leftrightarrow S is the domain of a partial recursive function.

Recall: if $g(x, y)$ is partial recursive, then so is

$$
f(x) = \min\{y : g(x, y) = 0\}.
$$

Task: Show that \emptyset is the domain of a partial recursive function. In other words, come up with a partial recursive function that is defined nowhere! **Ans**: Define $g(x, y) = 1$ for all x, y. Since intuitively g is computable (just return 1 regardless of input), g is computable. As computable functions are (partial) recursive,

$$
f(x)=\min\{y: g(x,y)=0\}
$$

is also partial recursive. But $f(x)$ is undefined for any $x \in \mathbb{N}$! Thus domain $(f) = \emptyset$.

S is $CE \Rightarrow S$ is the domain of a partial recursive function.

Let's prove the theorem! Recall that a set S is formally CE if it satisfied one of the following:

 \blacktriangleright $S = \emptyset$.

 $S = \text{range}(f)$ for some computable f.

Task: Show that if S is formally CE, then S is the domain of a partial recursive function.

S is $CE \Rightarrow S$ is the domain of a partial recursive function.

Let's prove the theorem! Recall that a set S is formally CE if it satisfied one of the following:

- \blacktriangleright $S = \emptyset$.
- $S = \text{range}(f)$ for some computable f.

Task: Show that if S is formally CE, then S is the domain of a partial recursive function.

Ans: Suppose S is CE. We have two cases:

- ▶ $S = \emptyset$: On the previous slide, we've proven that \emptyset is the domain of a partial recursive function.
- \triangleright $S =$ range(f) where f is computable. Define the computable function $g(x, y) = |x - f(y)|$ (so $g(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = f(y)$). Then the function

$$
h(x)=\min\{x: g(x,y)=0\}
$$

is partial recursive. h 's domain is precisely the range of f !

S is $CE \Leftrightarrow S$ is the domain of a partial recursive function. What about the other direction? (It's hard!)

S is CE \Leftrightarrow S is the domain of a partial recursive function.

What about the other direction? (It's hard!) Let $S =$ domain(f), where f is partial recursive. If $S = \emptyset$ then S is CE and we're done, so suppose $S \neq \emptyset$. Since S is nonempty, choose some $p \in S$. We may define the following computable function g :

```
def g(x, s):
  try to compute f(x) for s steps
  if f(x) returns within s steps:
    return x
  else:
    return p
```
Task: Show that the range of g is indeed S.

So we've proven the following!

S is $CE \Leftrightarrow S$ is the domain of a partial recursive function.

So we've proven the following!

S is CE \Leftrightarrow S is the domain of a partial recursive function. It also turns out that

S is CE \Leftrightarrow S is the range of a partial recursive function.

But we don't have time to prove this! :(

So we've proven the following!

S is CE \Leftrightarrow S is the domain of a partial recursive function. It also turns out that

S is CE \Leftrightarrow S is the range of a partial recursive function.

But we don't have time to prove this! :(This equivalence of definitions is called the Normal Form Theorem.