CSC363 Tutorial #7 Hamiltonian Path Problem

March 9, 2022

Learning objectives this tutorial

- **Formulate the Hamiltonian Cycle Problem, and then the Hamiltonian** Path Problem.
- \triangleright Show that the *Hamiltonian Cycle Problem* (and the Hamiltonian Path Problem) can be decided by a NTM in poly-time.
- ▶ Show that the *Hamiltonian Cycle Problem* (and the Hamiltonian Path Problem) can be verified in poly-time.

Some Clarifications

- \triangleright When we say that a TM M runs in $f(n)$ -time, we mean the following: For all inputs of length n (in terms of number of characters), the computation $M(n)$ halts within $f(n)$ steps.
- \triangleright When we say that a language L is decidable in $f(n)$ -time, we mean that there is some TM that decides L in $f(n)$ -time.

Question: Who's this person?

Question: Who's this person?

Ans: Sir William Rowan Hamilton, LL.D, DCL, MRIA, FRAS.

List of things attributed to Sir William Rowan Hamilton, LL.D, DCL, MRIA, FRAS:

List of things attributed to Sir William Rowan Hamilton, LL.D, DCL, MRIA, FRAS:

▶ Quaternions. (Think complex numbers $a + bi$ with $i^2 = -1$ aren't enough? Introducing 4-dimensional numbers $a + bi + cj + dk$ with $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1!$

List of things attributed to Sir William Rowan Hamilton, LL.D, DCL, MRIA, FRAS:

- ▶ Quaternions. (Think complex numbers $a + bi$ with $i^2 = -1$ aren't enough? Introducing 4-dimensional numbers $a + bi + cj + dk$ with $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1!$
- **EXPHYSICS, Specifically Hamiltonian Mechanics.** i dont know what this is
- Astronomy. i dont know astronomy either
- ▶ Some graph theory.
- Other uninteresting stuff

Around Broom Bridge, Dublin. Vandalized many times, of course.

There's also a *Hamilton Walk* event that takes place every year from Dunsink Observatory in Dublin Broom Bridge. Would be funny if they walked in a Hamiltonian path, eh.

Question: What would someone, living in 19th century Ireland, do when they were bored?

 1 Not sure about other 19th century Irishpeople, but Hamilton certainly did. $7/16$

Question: What would someone, living in 19th century Ireland, do when they were bored? Ans: Math.¹

 1 Not sure about other 19th century Irishpeople, but Hamilton certainly did. $7/16$

Question: What would someone, living in 19th century Ireland, do when they were bored?

Ans: Math.¹ More specifically, think about whether they can "walk" across a dodecahedron in a loop, visiting every vertex once.

 1 Not sure about other 19th century Irishpeople, but Hamilton certainly did. $7/16$

Question: What would someone, living in 19th century Ireland, do when they were bored?

Ans: Math.¹ More specifically, think about whether they can "walk" across a dodecahedron in a loop, visiting every vertex once.

 1 Not sure about other 19th century Irishpeople, but Hamilton certainly did. $7/16$

Question: What would someone, living in 19th century Ireland, do when they were bored?

Ans: Math.¹ More specifically, think about whether they can "walk" across a dodecahedron in a loop, visiting every vertex once.

Task: Can you find a cycle in the graph below that visits every vertex exactly once?

Task: Can you find a cycle in the graph below that visits every vertex exactly once?

This kinda reminds me of "connect the dots" games I used to play as a kid

The previous "connect the dots in a loop" game is a specific instance of the Hamiltonian Cycle Problem.

The previous "connect the dots in a loop" game is a specific instance of the Hamiltonian Cycle Problem.

In the Hamiltonian Cycle Problem, you are given a graph (undirected in our context), and asked to determine whether a Hamiltonian Cycle exists.

The previous "connect the dots in a loop" game is a specific instance of the Hamiltonian Cycle Problem.

In the Hamiltonian Cycle Problem, you are given a graph (undirected in our context), and asked to determine whether a Hamiltonian Cycle exists.

Definition: Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, a **Hamiltonian Cycle** is a cycle that contains each vertex $v \in V$ exactly once.

The previous "connect the dots in a loop" game is a specific instance of the Hamiltonian Cycle Problem.

In the Hamiltonian Cycle Problem, you are given a graph (undirected in our context), and asked to determine whether a Hamiltonian Cycle exists.

Definition: Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, a **Hamiltonian Cycle** is a cycle that contains each vertex $v \in V$ exactly once.

Task: Determine whether Hamiltonian cycles exist in the following graphs.

Ans: The left and right graphs have Hamiltonian cycles, while the middle graph doesn't.

Ans: The left and right graphs have Hamiltonian cycles, while the middle graph doesn't.

Question: How do we know that there is no Hamiltonian cycle for the middle graph?

Ans: The left and right graphs have Hamiltonian cycles, while the middle graph doesn't.

Question: How do we know that there is no Hamiltonian cycle for the middle graph? Ans: **m**brute force.

Ans: The left and right graphs have Hamiltonian cycles, while the middle graph doesn't.

Question: How do we know that there is no Hamiltonian cycle for the middle graph? Ans: \mathbf{W} brute force.

Insight: This gives us another "hard to solve, easy to verify" problem.

Task: Describe, in pseudocode, how you can "brute-force" the Hamiltonian cycle problem. What is the runtime?

 2 The Hamiltonian Cycle problem is NP -complete.

Task: Describe, in pseudocode, how you can "brute-force" the Hamiltonian cycle problem. What is the runtime? Ans:

```
def has_hcycle(V, E):
  suppose V = \{v1, v2, \ldots, vn\}for every permutation {vi1, vi2, ..., vin}
    of \{v1, v2, ..., vn\}:
    if vi1->vi2->...->vin->vi1 is a valid path in the graph:
      return True
  return False
```
The runtime is...

 2 The Hamiltonian Cycle problem is NP-complete.

Task: Describe, in pseudocode, how you can "brute-force" the Hamiltonian cycle problem. What is the runtime? Ans:

```
def has_hcycle(V, E):
  suppose V = \{v1, v2, \ldots, vn\}for every permutation {vi1, vi2, ..., vin}
    of {v1, v2, ..., vn}:
    if vi1->vi2->...->vin->vi1 is a valid path in the graph:
      return True
  return False
```
The runtime is... $O(n|m)$ (since there are n! many permutations of n vertices, and checking each permutation takes $O(m)$).

 2 The Hamiltonian Cycle problem is NP-complete.

Task: Describe, in pseudocode, how you can "brute-force" the Hamiltonian cycle problem. What is the runtime? Ans:

```
def has_hcycle(V, E):
  suppose V = \{v1, v2, \ldots, vn\}for every permutation {vi1, vi2, ..., vin}
    of \{v1, v2, ..., vn\}:
    if vi1->vi2->...->vin->vi1 is a valid path in the graph:
      return True
  return False
```
The runtime is... $O(n|m)$ (since there are n! many permutations of n vertices, and checking each permutation takes $O(m)$). Question: Can we do better? Ans: \mathbf{Q} we don't know... (this is akin to solving the P vs NP problem)²

 2 The Hamiltonian Cycle problem is NP-complete.

The runtime is... $O(n|m)$ (since there are n! many permutations of n vertices, and checking each permutation takes $O(m)$).

There's a similar problem, called the Hamiltonian Path Problem, which involves visiting every vertex exactly once in a path (without having to loop back to the beginning).

NTM solution to Hamiltonian Cycle Problem

Task: Build a poly-time NTM that decides the language

 $HC = \{G : There is a Hamiltonian Cycle in G\}.$

You should define in_HC(V, E) (where (V, E) is the graph).

NTM solution to Hamiltonian Cycle Problem

Task: Build a poly-time NTM that decides the language

```
HC = \{G : There is a Hamiltonian Cycle in G\}.
```
You should define in HC(V, E) (where (V, E) is the graph). Ans:

```
in HC(V, E):
  choose a permutation (v1, \ldots, vn) of V # nondeterministic!
  for i in 1, ..., n-1:
    if (vi, v(i+1)) not in E:
      reject
  if (vn, v1) not in E:
    reject
  accept
```


```
NTM solution to Hamiltonian Cycle Problem
Task: Build a poly-time TM verify_HC(V, E, s) such that
           (V, E) \in HC \Leftrightarrow There is some input s such that
                         verify_HC(V, E, s) accepts.
Ans:
verify_HC(V, E, s: string):
  let V = (v1, \ldots, vn)if s is not of the form "(v{k1}, ..., v{kn})":
    reject
  parse s to extract vertices vk1, ..., vkn
  for i in range(n-1):
    if (vki, vk(i+1)) not in E:
      reject
  if (vkn, vk1) not in E:
    reject
  accept
```

```
NTM solution to Hamiltonian Cycle Problem
Task: Build a poly-time TM verify_HC(V, E, s) such that
            (V, E) \in HC \Leftrightarrow There is some input s such that
                         verify_HC(V, E, s) accepts.
Ans:
verify_HC(V, E, s: string):
  let V = (v1, \ldots, vn)if s is not of the form "(v{k1}, ..., v{kn})":
    reject
  parse s to extract vertices vk1, ..., vkn
  for i in range(n-1):
    if (vki, vk(i+1)) not in E:
      reject
  if (vkn, vk1) not in E:
    reject
  accept
verify_HC(V, E, s) acts as a verifier: it checks whether a prospective
```
"solution" s to the Hamiltonian Cycle problem actually works.

NTM poly-time versus verifiable in poly-time

There is a more general definition of a verifier.

Definition: A verifier V for a language L is a Turing machine that satisfies

 $x \in L \Leftrightarrow (\exists s) \vee (x, s)$ accepts.

A string s is called a **certificate** if $V(x, s)$ accepts.